

Sampling the Lindelöf Hypothesis with the Cauchy Random Walk

Mikhail LIFSHITS and Michel WEBER

Abstract: We study the behavior of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$, when t is sampled by the Cauchy random walk. More precisely, let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ denote an infinite sequence of independent Cauchy distributed random variables. Consider the sequence of partial sums $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We investigate the almost sure asymptotic behavior of the system

$$\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iS_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We develop a complete second order theory for this system and show, by using a classical approximation formula of $\zeta(\cdot)$, that it behaves almost like a system of non-correlated variables. Exploiting this fact in relation with known criteria for almost sure convergence, allows to prove the following almost sure asymptotic behavior: for any real $b > 2$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iS_k) \stackrel{(a.s.)}{=} n + \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}(\log n)^b)$$

1. Introduction and Main Result

Our work is devoted to the study of the celebrated Lindelöf Hypothesis, and our main theorems provide new quantitative results about the behavior of the Riemann zeta function along the critical line $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. Here and elsewhere we use the standard notation $s = \sigma + it$ for the complex argument. As is well-known, the Riemann zeta function defined on the half-plane $\{s : \Re s > 1\}$ by the series

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$

admits a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane, with the unique and simple pole of residue 1 at $s = 1$. In the half-plane $\{s : \Re s \leq 0\}$, the Riemann zeta function has simple zeros at $-2, -4, -6, \dots$, and only at these points which are called trivial zeros. There exist also non-trivial zeros in the band $\{s : 0 < \Re s < 1\}$. We refer for these basic facts for instance to [Bl] (Propositions IV.10 & IV.11, p.84).

Two great conjectures are related to the behavior of $\zeta(s)$. The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) asserts that all non-trivial zeros of the function ζ have abscissa $\frac{1}{2}$, while Lindelöf Hypothesis (LH) claims that

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = \mathcal{O}(t^\varepsilon)$$

Date: on February 2, 2008

AMS Subject Classification 2000: Primary 11M06, 60G50, Secondary 60F15.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Lindelöf Hypothesis, Cauchy random walk.

for every positive ε ; or, what turns out to be equivalent ([T], Chap. XIII p.276), that

$$(1.3) \quad \zeta(\sigma + it) = \mathcal{O}(t^\varepsilon)$$

for every positive ε and every $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The validity of RH implies ([T], Theorem 14.14, Chap. XIV p.300) that

$$(1.4) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left\{A \frac{\log t}{\log \log t}\right\}\right),$$

A being a constant, which is even a stronger form of LH; the latter being strictly weaker than RH.

There are various equivalent reformulations of the LH. Here we follow [T] Chap. XIII, and recall that the validity of (1.3) is equivalent to any of the three following assertions

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^{2k} dt = \mathcal{O}(T^\varepsilon), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T \left|\zeta(\sigma + it)\right|^{2k} dt = \mathcal{O}(T^\varepsilon), \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T \left|\zeta(\sigma + it)\right|^{2k} dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k^2(n)}{n^{2\sigma}}, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $d_k(n)$ denotes the number of representations of integer n as a product of k factors. There are some classical results related to (1.7). For every positive integer $k > 2$, it is known ([T], Theorem 7.7 p.125) that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T \left|\zeta(\sigma + it)\right|^{2k} dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k^2(n)}{n^{2\sigma}}$ if $\sigma > 1 - 1/k$. The same result also holds ([T], Theorem 7.11 p.132) for non-integer k such that $0 < k \leq 2$ and $\sigma > 1/2$, and is proved by using a theorem of Carlson.

to briefly the various

The study of the LH has been over the last century and up to now, the object of continuous and considerable efforts of numerous mathematicians, not exclusively number theorists, but also of probabilists, starting from important contributions of Pólya [Po]. Up to now, the best known result towards (1.3) is due to Huxley [H2]

$$(1.8) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = \mathcal{O}(t^{32/205+\varepsilon}), \quad (\forall \varepsilon > 0)$$

and $32/205 = 0,156097561\dots$ Regarding the equivalent formulation in terms of power moments (1.5), there is the following satisfactory estimate (see [I1] Theorem 5.1 p.129) due to Ingham for the case $k = 2$:

$$(1.9) \quad \int_1^T \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^4 dt = (2\pi^2)^{-1} T \log^4 T + \mathcal{O}(T \log^3 T).$$

Beyond this case, for instance for $k = 3$, nothing comparable has been proved yet, and we may just cite the following much weaker estimate

$$(1.10) \quad \int_1^T \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^{2k} dt = \mathcal{O}(T^{(k+2)/4} \log^{C(k)} T), \quad 2 \leq k \leq 6,$$

$C(k)$ is a constant depending on k . Define the (modified) Mellin transform of the zeta function:

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{M}_k(s) = \int_1^\infty |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^{2k} u^{-s} du, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}, \sigma = \Re s \geq c(k) > 1,$$

where $c(k)$ is such a constant for which the integral in (1.9) converges absolutely. It has been recently proved by Ivić ([I2], Corollary 1) that the validity of LH is also equivalent to the property that for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mathcal{M}_k(s)$ is regular for $\sigma > 1$ and satisfies $\mathcal{M}_k(1 + \varepsilon + it) \ll_{k,\varepsilon} 1$.

The LH has also a connection with the function $S(T)$ where we recall ([T], Section 9.3) that $S(T)$ denotes the value of

$$\pi^{-1} \arg \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iT), \quad (\arg \zeta(s) = \arctan \frac{\Im \zeta(s)}{\Re \zeta(s)})$$

obtained by continuous variation along the straight lines joining 2 , $2 + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + iT$, starting with the value 0 . Whereas it is known that $S(T) = \mathcal{O}(\log T)$, the validity of LH would imply $S(T) = o(\log T)$, see ([T] Theorem 9.4 p.181 and Theorem 13.6 p.281) respectively. In [Gh], Ghosh answering a question raised by Selberg, studied the value distribution of the modulus $|S(t)|$ and showed that

$$(1.12) \quad \text{meas} \left\{ T < t < T + H : |S(t)| < \sigma \sqrt{\log \log t} \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\sigma}^{\sigma} e^{-x^2} dx + o(1) \cdot H,$$

is valid for $T^\alpha < H < T$ and any fixed $\alpha > 1/2$. He also proves that on the Riemann Hypothesis this result holds for any fixed $\alpha > 0$.

There are numerous results focused on the value distribution of the zeta function, since the seminal work of Bohr and Jessen [BJ]. We refer for instance to the book of Joyner [Jo]. The limiting value distribution (so called Bohr-Jessen measure) has been extensively studied in the works of Hattori and Matsumoto [HM], as well as by Laurinćikas, who proved in [La] (see also his joint work with Steuding [LS]) by means of probabilistic methods that the LH is actually equivalent to the fact that for arbitrary positive reals ε and a

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) \right| < x T^\varepsilon \right\} = 1 - \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\Delta(T)}{1 + x^a} \right)$$

holds for all x large enough, where $\Delta(T)$ is an arbitrary function such that $\Delta(T) = o(1)$.

We refer to [T], [I] and the recent survey [GM] for other related results, like for instance ([T] Chap. XIII) the relationships between the LH and the distribution of the zeros of the zeta function. It is worth noticing that the validity of the RH has other interesting consequences concerning the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta(1 + it)$. For instance, Theorem 14.8 p.290 of [T] implies that

$$(1.14) \quad \left| \log \zeta(1 + it) \right| \leq \log \log \log t + A,$$

A being a constant, whereas Vinogradov [V] proved $\zeta(1 + it) = \mathcal{O}((\log t)^{2/3})$

Now we would like to mention some probabilistic methods involved in the study of the zeta function. In [Bi], [BPY], various identities in distribution linking functionals of Brownian motion with the elliptic theta function

$$(1.15) \quad \Theta(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 u},$$

allow to reinterpret or retrieve differently the famous functional equation (see [H1], Chap. 11, Eq. (11.3) and (11.7) or [T] Chap. II or else [Bl] Part. 5, Chap.3 p.136) valid for any complex s

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \zeta(s) &= \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-s)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s) \\ &= \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{2} (\Theta(x) - 1) (x^{\frac{1}{2}s-1} + x^{-\frac{1}{2}s-\frac{1}{2}}) dx - \{s(1-s)\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In [W1], another form of the functional equation, linking the zeta function with the value distribution of the divisors of the spin random walk, has been recently established. The approach of [W1] is different from those of the above quoted papers.

To conclude this brief description of involved probabilistic methods, it seems necessary to mention the, although not relevant in the present work, very actively developing random matrix theory, modelling the pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. We refer for instance to [Bi] (Section 2) for a short glimpse to this theory based on the striking observation made by Dyson that the asymptotic distribution formula for the distances between the zeros of the zeta function proposed by Montgomery [Mo] exactly describes the distribution of the distances between the eigenvalues of a Gaussian random Hermitian matrix (recall that Hilbert and Pólya suggested that the zeros of the zeta function are likely the eigenvalues of some Hilbertian self-adjoint operator). This is also motivated by the analogy existing between the explicit formula for the zeros given by A. Weil, and Selberg trace formula for the discrete eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in the hyperbolic half-plane ($\Delta = -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$). None of the reviewed approaches will be, however, implemented in the present work.

Here, our aim is to study the asymptotic behavior of the zeta function along the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ by modelling the time t with the Cauchy random walk. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ denote an infinite sequence of independent Cauchy distributed random variables (with characteristic function $\varphi(t) = e^{-|t|}$), then the time t is modelled by the sequence of partial sums

$$(1.17) \quad S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n.$$

In order to understand the behavior of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ when t tends to infinity, we propose to investigate the almost sure asymptotic behavior of the system

$$(1.18) \quad \zeta_n := \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iS_n\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Put for any positive integer n

$$(1.19) \quad \mathcal{Z}_n = \zeta(1/2 + iS_n) - \mathbf{E} \zeta(1/2 + iS_n) = \zeta_n - \mathbf{E} \zeta_n.$$

We develop a complete second order theory of the system $\{\mathcal{Z}_n, n \geq 1\}$. The main striking fact we obtain is that the this system nearly behaves like a system of non-correlated variables, i.e. the variables $\mathcal{Z}_n$ are weakly orthogonal. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1. *There exist constants C, C_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |\mathcal{Z}_n|^2 &= \log n + C + o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \text{and for } m > n + 1, \quad &|\mathbf{E} \mathcal{Z}_n \overline{\mathcal{Z}_m}| \leq C_0 \max\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2^{m-n}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The explicit value of C is

$$C = C_E - 2 + 2 \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha + 2 \int_1^\infty \left(\phi(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) d\alpha,$$

where C_E is the Euler constant and $\phi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha e^\alpha - 2e^\alpha + \alpha + 2}{2\alpha^2(e^\alpha - 1)}$.

Exploiting Theorem 1 in the context of the known criteria for almost sure convergence, we prove the following theorem, which displays a rather slow growth of the zeta function on the critical line, when sampled by the Cauchy random walk.

Theorem 2. *For any real $b > 2$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iS_k\right) - n}{n^{1/2}(\log n)^b} \stackrel{(a.s.)}{=} 0,$$

and

$$\left\| \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iS_k\right) - n \right|}{n^{1/2}(\log n)^b} \right\|_2 < \infty.$$

The used notation *a.s.* (for almost surely) means that the corresponding property holds with probability one.

Remark. We believe that the results similar to Theorems 1 and 2 are valid for sampling with a large class of random walks with discrete or continuous steps. Quite surprisingly, the necessary moment expressions we obtain for Cauchy distribution are by far more explicit (which made our project feasible) than in other cases, e.g. for Gaussian or Bernoulli distributions.

Our approach is based on the following classical approximation result (see for instance Theorem 4.11 p.67 in [T]): letting, as usually, $s = \sigma + it$, we have

$$(1.20) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-\sigma}),$$

uniformly for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$, $|t| \leq T_x := 2\pi x/C$, C is any constant > 1 .

Therefore, the second order theory of the system (Z_n) follows from a study of the same kind concerning the auxiliary system

$$(1.21) \quad Z_n(x) = Z_n = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{\sigma + iS_n}} - \frac{x^{1-(\sigma + iS_n)}}{1 - (\sigma + iS_n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad x > 0.$$

The investigation of $Z_n(x)$ occupies the whole Section 2, and constitutes the main part of the technical work. In the concluding Section 3 we show that $Z_n(x)$ approximates zeta function well enough and prove Theorems 1 and 2.

2. Second order theory of (Z_n)

We begin with some basic notation. We write $Z_n(x) = Z_n = Z_{n1} - Z_{n2}$ with

$$(2.1) \quad Z_{n1} = Z_{n1}(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{e^{-i(\log k)S_n}}{k^\sigma},$$

$$(2.2) \quad Z_{n2} = Z_{n2}(x) = \frac{x^{1-\sigma} e^{-i(\log x)S_n}}{1 - (\sigma + iS_n)}.$$

In order to investigate the covariance structure, we study the behavior of the first and second order moments of Z_n , and the correlation $\mathbf{E} Z_n \bar{Z}_m$, from which are easily derived the second order distances $\mathbf{E} |Z_n - Z_m|^2$, $m > n$. We write

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |Z_n|^2 &= E|Z_{n1}|^2 + E|Z_{n2}|^2 - 2 \Re \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n2} \\ \mathbf{E} Z_n \bar{Z}_m &= \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m1} - \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} - \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m1} + \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2}, \end{aligned}$$

The following integral representation will be used repeatedly

$$\frac{1}{1-s} = \int_0^1 u^{-s} du, \quad \Re s < 1.$$

For the first moments, we have for $x \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} &= x^{1-\sigma} \int_0^1 \mathbf{E} e^{-i(\log x)S_n} e^{-(\log u)(\sigma+iS_n)} du = x^{1-\sigma} \int_0^1 u^{-\sigma} \mathbf{E} e^{-i(\log xu)S_n} du \\ &= x^{1-\sigma} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-|\log(xu)|^n} du}{u^\sigma} = x^{1-\sigma} \left(\int_0^{1/x} \frac{(xu)^n du}{u^\sigma} + \int_{1/x}^1 \frac{(xu)^{-n} du}{u^\sigma} \right) \\ &= x^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{n-\sigma+1} + \frac{x^{\sigma-1} - x^{-n}}{n+\sigma-1} \right) = \frac{2n}{n^2 - (1-\sigma)^2} - \frac{x^{1-\sigma-n}}{n+\sigma-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^\sigma} \mathbf{E} e^{-i(\log k)S_n} = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{\sigma+n}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_n = \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} - \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{\sigma+n}} - \frac{2n}{n^2 - (1-\sigma)^2} + \frac{x^{1-\sigma-n}}{n+\sigma-1} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(\sigma+n) - \frac{2n}{n^2 - (1-\sigma)^2},$$

for any integer n and $\sigma > 0$.

In subsequent calculations we will find explicit and asymptotic formulas for $\mathbf{E} |Z_{n1}|^2$ (see (2.21)), $\mathbf{E} |Z_{n2}|^2$ (see (2.12)), $\mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2}$ (see (2.13)), $\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2}$ (see (2.15)), $\mathbf{E} Z_{m1} \bar{Z}_{n2}$ (see (2.17)), $\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n2}$ (see (2.16)). The final answers are given in Section 2.5.

2.1. Exact formulae related to Z_{n2}

We begin with proving three exact formulae stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *For $m = n$ and for $m > n + 1$ we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2} = A + Bx^{-n+(1-\sigma)} + Cx^{-(m-n)+2(1-\sigma)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{4n(m-n)}{((m-n)^2 - 4(1-\sigma)^2)(n^2 - (1-\sigma)^2)}, \\ B &= \frac{2(m-n)}{(2n-m+(1-\sigma))(m+(1-\sigma))(n-(1-\sigma))}, \\ C &= \frac{3n-m+2(1-\sigma)}{(2n-m+(1-\sigma))(2(1-\sigma)-(m-n))(n+(1-\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $m \geq n$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} = \sum_{k \leq x} \left[\frac{-2(m-n)k^{-n-\sigma}}{(m+(1-\sigma))(2n-m+(1-\sigma))} + \frac{2nk^{-(m-n)+1-2\sigma}}{(m-(1-\sigma))(2n-m+(1-\sigma))} - \frac{k^{n-\sigma}x^{-m+(1-\sigma)}}{m-(1-\sigma)} \right],$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{m1} \bar{Z}_{n2} = \sum_{k \leq x} \left(\frac{2nk^{-(m-n)+1-2\sigma}}{n^2 - (1-\sigma)^2} - \frac{k^{2n-m-\sigma}x^{-n+(1-\sigma)}}{n-(1-\sigma)} \right).$$

Proof. We start with the proof of (2.5). Recall that

$$Z_{n2} = \frac{x^{1-\sigma} e^{-i \log x S_n}}{1 - (\sigma + i S_n)}, \quad \bar{Z}_{m2} = \frac{x^{1-\sigma} e^{i \log x S_m}}{1 - (\sigma - i S_m)}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2} = x^{2(1-\sigma)} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{i \log x (S_m - S_n)} \frac{1}{1 - (\sigma + i S_n)} \frac{1}{1 - (\sigma - i S_m)} \right].$$

Using again the integral representation $\frac{1}{1-s} = \int_0^1 u^{-s} du$, $s \neq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{i \log x (S_m - S_n)} \frac{1}{1 - (\sigma + i S_n)} \frac{1}{1 - (\sigma - i S_m)} \right] \\ = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} \mathbf{E} e^{i(\log x + \log v)(S_m - S_n) + i(\log v - \log u)S_n} du dv \\ = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du dv. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we split the square $[0, 1]^2$ in four domains.

– For the first domain, $u \leq v, 1/x \leq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/x}^1 dv \int_0^v u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\ = \int_{1/x}^1 dv \int_0^v u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} x^{-(m-n)} v^{-(m-n)} (u/v)^n du \\ = x^{-(m-n)} \int_{1/x}^1 v^{-m-\sigma} dv \int_0^v u^{n-\sigma} du = \frac{x^{-(m-n)}}{n + (1-\sigma)} \int_{1/x}^1 v^{-m-\sigma+n+(1-\sigma)} dv \\ = \frac{x^{-(m-n)}}{n + (1-\sigma)} \cdot \frac{x^{(m-n)-2(1-\sigma)} - 1}{(m-n) - 2(1-\sigma)} \\ = \frac{x^{-2(1-\sigma)} - x^{-(m-n)}}{((m-n) - 2(1-\sigma))(n + (1-\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that this calculation does not go through in the case $m = n + 1, \sigma = 1/2$ that we excluded. The same is valid for many other subsequent formulas but we will not stress this fact anymore.

Thus, for the first domain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad x^{2(1-\sigma)} \int_{1/x}^1 dv \int_0^v u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
 = \frac{1 - x^{-(m-n)+2(1-\sigma)}}{((m-n) - 2(1-\sigma))(n + (1-\sigma))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

– For the second domain, $u \leq v \leq 1/x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{1/x} dv \int_0^v u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
 = \int_0^{1/x} dv \int_0^v u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} x^{m-n} v^{m-n} (u/v)^n du \\
 = x^{m-n} \int_0^{1/x} v^{m-2n-\sigma} dv \int_0^v u^{n-\sigma} du \\
 = \frac{x^{m-n}}{n + (1-\sigma)} \int_0^{1/x} v^{m-2n-\sigma+n+(1-\sigma)} dv \\
 = \frac{x^{m-n}}{n + (1-\sigma)} \cdot \frac{x^{-(m-n)-2(1-\sigma)}}{(m-n) + 2(1-\sigma)} \\
 = \frac{x^{-2(1-\sigma)}}{((m-n) + 2(1-\sigma))(n + (1-\sigma))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the second domain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad x^{2(1-\sigma)} \int_0^{1/x} dv \int_0^v u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
 = \frac{1}{((m-n) + 2(1-\sigma))(n + (1-\sigma))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

– For the third domain, $u \geq v \geq 1/x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{1/x}^1 dv \int_v^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
 = \int_{1/x}^1 dv \int_v^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} (xv)^{-(m-n)} (v/u)^n du \\
 = x^{-(m-n)} \int_{1/x}^1 v^{2n-m-\sigma} dv \int_v^1 u^{-n-\sigma} du \\
 = \frac{x^{-(m-n)}}{n - (1-\sigma)} \int_{1/x}^1 v^{2n-m-\sigma} (v^{-n+(1-\sigma)} - 1) dv \\
 = \frac{x^{-(m-n)}}{n - (1-\sigma)} \left(\frac{x^{(m-n)-2(1-\sigma)}}{(m-n) - 2(1-\sigma)} + \frac{x^{-(2n-m+(1-\sigma))}}{2n-m+(1-\sigma)} \right) \\
 - \frac{x^{-(m-n)}}{n - (1-\sigma)} \left(\frac{1}{(m-n) - 2(1-\sigma)} + \frac{1}{2n-m+(1-\sigma)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the third domain,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & x^{2(1-\sigma)} \int_{1/x}^1 dv \int_v^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
&= \frac{1}{((m-n) - 2(1-\sigma))(n - (1-\sigma))} \\
&= -\frac{x^{-n+(1-\sigma)}}{(2n-m+(1-\sigma))(n-(1-\sigma))} \\
&= +\frac{x^{-(m-n)+2(1-\sigma)}}{(2n-m+(1-\sigma))((m-n)-2(1-\sigma))}.
\end{aligned}$$

– For the fourth and the last domain, $u \geq v, 1/x \geq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{1/x} dv \int_v^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
&= \int_0^{1/x} dv \int_v^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} (xv)^{(m-n)} (v/u)^n du \\
&= x^{(m-n)} \int_0^{1/x} v^{m-\sigma} dv \int_v^1 u^{-n-\sigma} du \\
&= \frac{x^{(m-n)}}{n-(1-\sigma)} \int_0^{1/x} (v^{m-n+1-2\sigma} - v^{m-\sigma}) dv \\
&= \frac{x^{(m-n)}}{n-(1-\sigma)} \left(\frac{x^{-(m-n)-2(1-\sigma)}}{(m-n)+2(1-\sigma)} - \frac{x^{-m-(1-\sigma)}}{m+(1-\sigma)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the fourth domain,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & x^{2(1-\sigma)} \int_0^{1/x} dv \int_v^1 u^{-\sigma} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log x + \log v|(m-n) - |\log v - \log u|n} du \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-(1-\sigma))((m-n)+2(1-\sigma))} - \frac{x^{-n+(1-\sigma)}}{(n-(1-\sigma))(m+(1-\sigma))}.
\end{aligned}$$

By summing up eight terms in (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), we arrive at (2.5).

An important particular case of (2.5) is $m = n$, where

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{n2} = \frac{x^{2(1-\sigma)}}{(1-\sigma)(n+(1-\sigma))}.$$

Now we pass to the proof of (2.6). By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} &= \mathbf{E} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} e^{-i \log k S_n} \frac{x^{1-\sigma} e^{i \log x S_m}}{1 - (\sigma - i S_m)} \\
&= x^{1-\sigma} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-i \log k S_n + i \log x S_m} \int_0^1 v^{-\sigma + i S_m} dv \right] \\
&= x^{1-\sigma} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} \int_0^1 v^{-\sigma} E e^{-i \log k S_n + i (\log x + \log v) S_m} dv \\
&= x^{1-\sigma} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} \int_0^1 v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv)|(m-n) - |\log(xv/k)|n} dv.
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate the last integral by splitting $[0, 1]$ in three intervals. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1/x} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv)|(m-n)-|\log(xv/k)|n} dv &= \int_0^{1/x} v^{-\sigma} (xv)^{(m-n)} (xv/k)^n dv \\ &= \frac{x^m}{k^n} \int_0^{1/x} v^{m-\sigma} dv \\ &= \frac{x^m}{k^n} \cdot \frac{x^{-m-(1-\sigma)}}{m+(1-\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Second,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/x}^{k/x} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv)|(m-n)-|\log(xv/k)|n} dv &= \int_{1/x}^{k/x} v^{-\sigma} (xv)^{-(m-n)} (xv/k)^n dv \\ &= \frac{x^{2n-m}}{k^n} \int_{1/x}^{k/x} v^{2n-m-\sigma} dv \\ &= \frac{x^{2n-m}}{k^n} \cdot \frac{x^{-2n+m-(1-\sigma)} (k^{2n-m+(1-\sigma)} - 1)}{2n-m+(1-\sigma)} \\ &= \frac{x^{-(1-\sigma)}}{2n-m+(1-\sigma)} \cdot \left(k^{-(m-n)+(1-\sigma)} - k^{-n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Third,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k/x}^1 v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv)|(m-n)-|\log(xv/k)|n} dv &= \int_{k/x}^1 v^{-\sigma} (xv)^{-(m-n)} (k/xv)^n dv \\ &= \frac{k^n}{x^m} \int_{k/x}^1 v^{-m-\sigma} dv \\ &= \frac{k^n}{x^m(m-(1-\sigma))} \left((k/x)^{-m+(1-\sigma)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{k^{-(m-n)+(1-\sigma)}}{x^{1-\sigma}(m-(1-\sigma))} - \frac{k^n}{x^m(m-(1-\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

By summing up three answers, multiplying by $k^{-\sigma}$, adding up over k , and multiplying by $x^{1-\sigma}$, we easily arrive at (2.6).

The proof of (2.7) is very similar. Indeed, we have by the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} Z_{m1} \bar{Z}_{n2} &= \mathbf{E} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} e^{-i \log k S_m} \frac{x^{1-\sigma} e^{i \log x S_n}}{1 - (\sigma - i S_n)} \\ &= x^{1-\sigma} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{-i \log k S_m + i \log x S_n} \int_0^1 v^{-\sigma + i S_n} dv \right] \\ &= x^{1-\sigma} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-\sigma} \int_0^1 v^{-\sigma} \mathbf{E} e^{-i \log k S_m + i (\log x + \log v) S_n} dv \\ &= x^{1-\sigma} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-(m-n)-\sigma} \int_0^1 v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv/k)|n} dv. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate this integral by splitting $[0, 1]$ in two intervals. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{k/x} v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv/k)|n} dv &= \int_0^{k/x} v^{-\sigma} (xv/k)^n dv \\ &= \frac{x^n}{k^n} \cdot \frac{(k/x)^{n+(1-\sigma)}}{n+(1-\sigma)} \\ &= \frac{k^{(1-\sigma)}}{x^{(1-\sigma)}(n+(1-\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

Second,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k/x}^1 v^{-\sigma} e^{-|\log(xv/k)|n} dv &= \int_{k/x}^1 v^{-\sigma} (k/xv)^n dv \\ &= \frac{k^n}{x^n} \cdot \frac{1}{n-(1-\sigma)} \left(\frac{x^{n-(1-\sigma)}}{k^{n-(1-\sigma)}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{k^{(1-\sigma)}}{x^{(1-\sigma)}(n-(1-\sigma))} - \frac{k^n}{x^n(n-(1-\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

By summing up two answers, multiplying by $k^{-(m-n)-\sigma}$, adding up over k , and multiplying by $x^{1-\sigma}$, we easily arrive at (2.7).

2.2. Asymptotic formulae related to Z_{n2}

Here we give a brief asymptotic analysis of the results obtained in previous section regarding the behaviour of exact expressions at $x \rightarrow \infty$. For the sake of brevity, we only consider $\sigma = 1/2$.

It follows immediately from (2.5) that for $m > n + 1$

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2} = \frac{4n(m-n)}{((m-n)^2-1)(n^2-1/4)} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

while (2.12) yields

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{n2} = \frac{2x}{n+1/2}.$$

Next, (2.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} &= \frac{-2(m-n)\zeta(n+1/2)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} + o(1) \\ &+ \frac{2n}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-(m-n)} - \frac{x^{-m+1/2}}{m-1/2} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{n-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that for $m > n + 1$ the second term converges and the second one is negligible, since

$$x^{-m+1/2} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{n-1/2} \leq x^{-m+1/2} \cdot x \cdot x^{n-1/2} = x^{-(m-n)+1} = o(1).$$

Hence, for $m > n + 1$, we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} = \frac{-2(m-n)\zeta(n+1/2)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} + \frac{2n \zeta(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

When $m = n > 2$, we use that, by second order Euler–Maclaurin formula,

$$\sum_{k \leq x} k^{n-1/2} = \frac{x^{n+1/2}}{n+1/2} + \frac{x^{n-1/2}}{2} + o\left(x^{n-1/2}\right)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n2} &= \frac{2nx}{n^2 - 1/4} - \frac{x}{n^2 - 1/4} - \frac{1}{2n-1} + o(1) \\ (2.16) \quad &= \frac{2x}{n+1/2} - \frac{1}{2n-1} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider (2.7) that now writes

$$\mathbf{E} Z_{m1} \bar{Z}_{n2} = \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1/4} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-(m-n)} - \frac{x^{-n+1/2}}{n-1/2} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{2n-m-1/2}.$$

When $m > n+1$, the first term converges and the second one is vanishing, since

$$x^{-n+1/2} \sum_{k \leq x} k^{2n-m-1/2} \leq x^{-n+1/2} \cdot x \cdot x^{2n-m-1/2} = x^{-(m-n)+1} = o(1).$$

Thus, we get

$$(2.17) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{m1} \bar{Z}_{n2} = \frac{2n \zeta(m-n)}{n^2 - 1/4} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, putting $m = n$ in (2.7), yields again (2.16).

2.3. Calculation of $\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m1}, m > n+1$

Let us fix $\sigma \in [1/2, 1)$ and m, n so that $m \geq n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.18) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m1} &= \mathbf{E} \sum_{k, l \leq x} \frac{e^{-i \log k S_n}}{k^\sigma} \frac{e^{i \log l S_m}}{l^\sigma} \\ &= \mathbf{E} \sum_{k, l \leq x} \frac{1}{k^\sigma l^\sigma} e^{i(\log l - \log k) S_n} e^{i \log l (S_m - S_n)} = \sum_{k, l \leq x} \frac{1}{k^\sigma l^\sigma} \left(\frac{\min(k, l)}{\max(k, l)} \right)^n l^{-(m-n)} \\ &= S_1 + S_2 + S_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{k \leq x} k^{n-\sigma} \sum_{l=k+1}^x l^{-m-\sigma}, \\ S_2 &= \sum_{l \leq x} l^{2n-m-\sigma} \sum_{k=l+1}^x k^{-n-\sigma} = \sum_{k \leq x} k^{2n-m-\sigma} \sum_{l=k+1}^x l^{-n-\sigma}, \\ S_0 &= \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-(m-n)-2\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

We specify this to $\sigma = 1/2$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \sum_{k \leq x} k^{n-1/2} \sum_{l=k+1}^x l^{-m-1/2}, \\
S_2 &= \sum_{k \leq x} k^{2n-m-1/2} \sum_{l=k+1}^x l^{-n-1/2}, \\
S_0 &= \sum_{k \leq x} k^{-(m-n)-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}$$

For $m > n + 1$ we obviously have

$$S_0 = \zeta((m-n)+1) + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next,

$$S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n-1/2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} l^{-m-1/2} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, for $m - n > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n-1/2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} l^{-m-1/2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n-1/2} \theta_{k,m} \int_k^{\infty} u^{-m-1/2} du \\
&= \frac{\theta_{m,n}}{m-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-(m-n)} \\
&= \frac{\theta_{m,n}}{m-1/2} \zeta(m-n).
\end{aligned}$$

Here and elsewhere θ 's are different constants in $[0, 1]$.

Exactly in the same way we obtain

$$S_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2n-m-1/2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} l^{-n-1/2} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2n-m-1/2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} l^{-n-1/2} = \frac{\theta'_{m,n}}{n-1/2} \zeta(m-n).$$

Thus, finally, for $m > n + 1$

$$(2.20) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m1} = \zeta((m-n)+1) + \theta \left(\frac{1}{m-1/2} + \frac{1}{n-1/2} \right) \zeta(m-n) + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

with $\theta = \theta(n, m) \in [0, 1]$.

2.4. Calculation of $\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n1}$.

Our aim is to prove the following formula

$$(2.21) \quad \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n1} = \frac{2x}{n+1/2} + K_n + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

$$K_n = \log n + C + o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad C = C_E - 1 + 2 \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha + 2 \int_1^\infty \left(\phi(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) d\alpha,$$

where C_E is the Euler constant and $\phi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha e^\alpha - 2e^\alpha + \alpha + 2}{2\alpha^2(e^\alpha - 1)}$.

Let us start proving (2.21). We already know that

$$\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n1} = \sum_{k, l \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{1/2} l^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\min(k, l)}{\max(k, l)} \right)^n = 2 \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} \sum_{k \leq l} k^{n-1/2} - \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l}.$$

We use Euler-Maclaurin formula of the first order:

$$\sum_{k \leq l} k^{n-1/2} = \frac{l^{n+1/2} - 1}{n + 1/2} + \frac{l^{n-1/2} + 1}{2} + \sum_{k \leq l-1} A_k,$$

where

$$A_k = (n - 3/2) \int_0^1 (k + t)^{n-3/2} (t - 1/2) dt.$$

By summing up we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} \sum_{k \leq l} k^{n-1/2} &= 2 \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} \left(\frac{l^{n+1/2} - 1}{n + 1/2} + \frac{l^{n-1/2} + 1}{2} + \sum_{k \leq l-1} A_k \right) \\ &= \frac{2x}{n + 1/2} + \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n + 1/2} \right) \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} \sum_{k \leq l-1} A_k \\ &= \frac{2x}{n + 1/2} + \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{1}{l} + \frac{n - 3/2}{n + 1/2} \zeta(n + 1/2) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_k \sum_{l=k+1}^\infty \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

(2.23)

$$\mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{n1} = \frac{2x}{n + 1/2} + \frac{n - 3/2}{n + 1/2} \zeta(n + 1/2) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_k \sum_{l=k+1}^\infty \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, it remains to analyze the behavior of the double sum

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_k \sum_{l=k+1}^\infty \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let denote

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &= B_k(n) = \int_0^1 (k+t)^{n-3/2} (t-1/2) dt, \\ D_k &= D_k(n) = \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}}, \\ D'_k &= D'_k(n) = \sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{n+1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= (n-3/2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k D_k \\ &= (n-3/2) \left[\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k D_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k \left(D'_k + (k+1)^{-n-1/2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We will show in the next section that

$$(2.24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-3/2) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k D_k = \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

where $\phi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha e^{\alpha-2} e^{\alpha} + \alpha + 2}{2\alpha^2(e^{\alpha}-1)}$;

$$(2.25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-3/2) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k D'_k = \int_1^{\infty} \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

where $\phi_1(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha-2+\alpha e^{-\alpha}+2e^{-\alpha}}{2\alpha^2(e^{\alpha}-1)}$; and

$$(2.26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left((n-3/2) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k (k+1)^{-n-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(k+1)} \right) = \int_1^{\infty} \phi_2(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

where $\phi_2(\alpha) = \frac{2e^{-\alpha} + \alpha e^{-\alpha} - 2}{2\alpha^2}$. Note that

$$\phi_1(\alpha) + \phi_2(\alpha) = \frac{2+2\alpha-2e^{\alpha}}{2\alpha^2(e^{\alpha}-1)} = \phi(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\alpha}.$$

It follows from (2.24), (2.25), (2.26) that

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+1} + \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha + \int_1^{\infty} \left(\phi(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) d\alpha + o(1).$$

Recall that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - 1 = \log n + C_E - 1 + O(1/n)$$

where C_E is the Euler constant. Thus we finally obtain

$$2S = \log n + C_E - 1 + O(1/n) + 2 \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha + 2 \int_1^\infty \left(\phi(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) d\alpha + o(1),$$

as asserted in (2.21).

2.5. Proofs of (2.24), (2.25), (2.26).

We want to show (2.24), i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k D_k = \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

with $\phi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha e^\alpha - 2e^\alpha + \alpha + 2}{2\alpha^2(e^\alpha - 1)}$.

To achieve this, we obtain that for any (large) fixed $M > 1$, uniformly over $k \in [n, Mn]$, it is true that

$$(2.27) \quad n B_k D_k \sim \int_{n/(k+1)}^{n/k} \phi(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Since ϕ is uniformly continuous, we have

$$\int_{n/(k+1)}^{n/k} \phi(\alpha) d\alpha \sim \phi\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right) \left(\frac{n}{k} - \frac{n}{k+1} \right) \sim \phi(\beta_k) \frac{n}{(k+1)^2},$$

where $\beta_k = \frac{n}{k+1} \in]0, 1]$. Thus we need to check

$$B_k D_k \sim \phi(\beta_k) \frac{1}{(k+1)^2},$$

or, equivalently

$$(2.28) \quad (k+1)^2 B_k D_k \sim \phi(\beta_k).$$

We have

$$(2.29) \quad (k+1)^2 B_k D_k = n B_k (k+1)^{-(n-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot D_k (k+1)^{(n+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{k+1}{n},$$

and will show that

$$(2.30) \quad n B_k (k+1)^{-(n-\frac{1}{2})} \sim \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-\beta_k}) + \frac{e^{-\beta_k} - 1}{\beta_k},$$

$$(2.31) \quad D_k (k+1)^{(n+\frac{1}{2})} \sim (1 - e^{-\beta_k})^{-1}.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-\beta}) + \frac{e^{-\beta} - 1}{\beta} \right) (1 - e^{-\beta})^{-1} \cdot \beta^{-1} = (\beta e^\beta + \beta + 2 - 2e^\beta) (2\beta^2 (e^\beta - 1))^{-1} = \phi(\beta),$$

(2.28) would follow from (2.29)-(2.31). Now we prove (2.30). We have, by variable change $t = 1 - \frac{k+1}{n}v$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &= \int_0^1 (k+t)^{n-3/2} (t - \frac{1}{2}) dt \\ &= \frac{(k+1)}{n} (k+1)^{n-3/2} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} (1-v/n)^{n-3/2} (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k+1}{n}v) dv \\ &\sim \frac{(k+1)^{n-1/2}}{n} \int_0^{\beta_k} e^{-v} (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta_k}) dv. \end{aligned}$$

By using the explicit formula

$$\int_0^\beta e^{-v} (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta}) dv = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-\beta}) + \frac{e^{-\beta} - 1}{2},$$

we arrive at (2.30).

Now we prove (2.31). We have
(2.32)

$$D_k(k+1)^{n+1/2} = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+1}{k+h}\right)^{n+1/2} = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{h-1}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)}.$$

By using (2.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(k+1)^{n+1/2} &\sim \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} e^{-h(n+1/2)/(k+1)} \\ &\sim \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{n+1/2}{k+1}\right)\right)^{-1} \sim \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{n}{k+1}\right)\right)^{-1} \\ &\sim \left(1 - \exp(-\beta_k)\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted in (2.31).

Now (2.27) is proved completely and we obtain, for any fixed M

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k D_k \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{Mn} \int_{n/(k+1)}^{n/k} \phi(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{1/M}^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

By sending M to infinity, we arrive at

$$(2.33) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k D_k \geq \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Similarly, we get for any $M > 1$

$$(2.34) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n}^{Mn} B_k D_k \leq \int_{1/M}^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Thus we only need to show that

$$(2.35) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k > Mn} B_k D_k = 0.$$

Then (2.34) and (2.35) will imply

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k D_k \leq \int_0^1 \phi(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

and thus finish the proof of (2.24), being coupled with (2.33)

We now prove (2.35). We use again that

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &= \frac{k+1}{n} (k+1)^{n-3/2} \int_0^{\frac{n}{k+1}} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k+1}{n}v\right) dv \\ &= \frac{(k+1)^{n-1/2}}{n} \int_0^{\beta_k} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta_k}\right) dv, \end{aligned}$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta}\right) dv \right| &= \left| \int_0^{\beta} \left(\left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta}\right) dv + \int_0^{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta}\right) dv \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\beta} \left(\left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v}{\beta}\right) dv + 0 \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\beta} \left| \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - 1 \right| dv \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\left| \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - 1 \right| = (n-3/2) \int_{1-\frac{v}{n}}^1 y^{n-5/2} dy \leq (n-3/2) \frac{v}{n} \leq v,$$

we get

$$(2.36) \quad B_k \leq \frac{(k+1)^{n-1/2}}{n} \beta_k^2.$$

Similarly, we will prove that

$$(2.37) \quad D_k \cdot (k+1)^{n+1/2} \leq C \beta_k^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$n B_k D_k \leq (k+1)^{n-1/2} \beta_k^2 (k+1)^{-(n+1/2)} \cdot C \beta_k^{-1} = C (k+1)^{-1} \beta_k = C \frac{n}{(k+1)^2},$$

whence

$$n \sum_{k > Mn} B_k D_k \leq C n \sum_{k > Mn} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \leq C n / (Mn) \leq C/M,$$

and (2.35) follows. Thus it remains to check (2.37). Recall that by (2.32)

$$D_k (k+1)^{n+1/2} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)}.$$

We split the sum in two: firstly, by using

$$1 + s \geq e^{s \log 2}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)} &\leq \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(- (n+1/2) \frac{h}{k+1} \log 2\right) = \left(1 - \exp\left(- \frac{n+1/2}{k+1} \log 2\right)\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \left(1 - \exp\left(-4\beta_k\right)\right)^{-1} \leq C\beta_k^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq \beta_k \leq 1$.

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h>k+1} \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)} \frac{(k+1)}{(k+1)} &\leq (k+1) \int_1^{\infty} (1+x)^{-(n+1/2)} dx = \frac{(k+1)}{(n-1/2)} 2^{-(n-1/2)} \\ &\leq 2^{3/2-n} \frac{(k+1)}{n} \leq C\beta_k^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we are done with (2.37) and with all the proof of (2.24).

The proof of (2.25) is completely similar to that of (2.24). We want to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-3/2) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k D'_k = \int_1^{\infty} \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

with $\phi_1(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha-2+\alpha e^{-\alpha}+2e^{-\alpha}}{2\alpha^2(e^{\alpha}-1)}$.

The main point is that for any (large) fixed $M > 1$, uniformly over $k \in [\frac{n}{M}, n]$, we have

$$nB_k D'_k \sim \int_{n/(k+1)}^{n/k} \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

By continuity of ϕ_1 , we have

$$\int_{n/(k+1)}^{n/k} \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha \sim \phi_1\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right) \left(\frac{n}{k} - \frac{n}{k+1}\right) \sim \phi_1(\beta_k) \frac{n}{(k+1)^2},$$

where $\beta_k = \frac{n}{k+1} \in [1, M]$. Thus we need to check

$$B_k D'_k \sim \phi_1(\beta_k)/(k+1)^2,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(2.38) \quad (k+1)^2 B_k D'_k \sim \phi_1(\beta_k).$$

We write

$$(2.39) \quad (k+1)^2 B_k D'_k = nB_k (k+1)^{-(n-1/2)} \cdot D'_k (k+1)^{n+1/2} \cdot \frac{k+1}{n}.$$

Next, we use again (2.30) which claims

$$(2.40) \quad nB_k (k+1)^{-(n-1/2)} \sim \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-\beta_k}) + \frac{e^{-\beta_k} - 1}{\beta_k}.$$

Moreover, we obtain from (2.31) that

$$(2.41) \quad \begin{aligned} D'_k(k+1)^{n+1/2} &= \left(D_k - (k+1)^{-(n+1/2)} \right) (k+1)^{n+1/2} = D_k(k+1)^{n+1/2} - 1 \\ &\sim (1 - e^{-\beta_k})^{-1} = e^{-\beta_k} / (1 - e^{-\beta_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-\beta}) + \frac{e^{-\beta} - 1}{\beta} \right] \left(\frac{e^{-\beta}}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right) \frac{1}{\beta} = \left[\beta(1 + e^{-\beta}) + 2(e^{-\beta} - 1) \right] \frac{1}{2\beta^2(e^\beta - 1)} = \phi_1(\beta),$$

we obtain (2.38) from (2.39) via (2.40) and (2.41). We derive next from (2.38) that for any fixed $M > 1$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n/M}^n B_k D'_k \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n/M}^n \int_{n/(k+1)}^{n/k} \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_1^M \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

By sending M to infinity, we arrive at

$$(2.42) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=1}^n B_k D'_k \geq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n/M}^n B_k D'_k \geq \int_1^\infty \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Similarly, we get for any $M > 1$

$$(2.43) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=n/M}^n B_k D'_k \leq \int_1^M \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \int_1^\infty \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Thus the only thing we need to show is

$$(2.44) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=1}^{n/M} B_k D'_k = 0.$$

Then (2.43) and (2.44) will imply

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=1}^n B_k D'_k \leq \int_1^\infty \phi_1(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

and this, after coupling with (2.42), will finish the proof of (2.25).

We still have, by (2.36)

$$(2.45) \quad nB_k \leq (k+1)^{n-1/2} \beta_k^2,$$

and will now evaluate D'_k as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D'_k \cdot (k+1)^{n+1/2} &= \sum_{h=2}^\infty \left(\frac{k+1}{k+h} \right)^{n+1/2} = \sum_{h=2}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{h-1}{k+1} \right)^{-(n+1/2)} = \sum_{h=1}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1} \right)^{-(n+1/2)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{h=1}^{k+1} + \sum_{h=k+2}^\infty \right) \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1} \right)^{-(n+1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using again $1 + s \geq e^{s \log 2}$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^{k+1} \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)} &\leq \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{h(n+1/2) \cdot \log 2}{k+1} \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{k+1} \cdot \log 2 \right\} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{k+1} \right\}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{k+1} \cdot \log 2 \right\} \leq C 2^{-\beta_k}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \leq n$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=k+2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{h}{k+1}\right)^{-(n+1/2)} \frac{k+1}{k+1} &\leq (k+1) \int_1^{\infty} (1+x)^{-(n+1/2)} dx \\ &= \frac{k+1}{n-1/2} 2^{-(n-1/2)} \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-n} \leq C 2^{-n/(k+1)} = C 2^{-\beta_k}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$D'_k(k+1)^{n+1/2} \leq C 2^{-\beta_k},$$

and by (2.45),

$$\begin{aligned} n B_k D'_k &= n B_k (k+1)^{-(n+1/2)} D'_k (k+1)^{n+1/2} \leq (k+1)^{n+1/2} \beta_k^2 (k+1)^{-(n+1/2)} \cdot C \cdot 2^{-\beta_k} \\ &= \frac{\beta_k^2}{(k+1)} \cdot C \cdot 2^{-\beta_k} \leq C \frac{\beta_k}{(k+1)} 2^{-\beta_k/2} = C \frac{n}{(k+1)^2} 2^{-\beta_k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain

$$n \sum_{k=1}^{n/M} B_k D'_k \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n/M} \frac{n}{(k+1)^2} 2^{-\beta_k/2} \leq C \int_M^{\infty} 2^{-x/2} dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as M tends to infinity, as claimed in (2.44); so that (2.25) is proved completely.

Finally, we prove (2.26). By definition, $B_k = \int_0^1 (k+t)^{n-3/2} (t - \frac{1}{2}) dt$, and we have to investigate the limit behavior of the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k+1)^{-(n+1/2)} B_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(k+t)^{n-3/2}}{(k+1)^{n-3/2} (k+1)^2} (t - \frac{1}{2}) dt.$$

By the variable change $t = 1 - \frac{k+1}{n} v$, we come to

$$\begin{aligned} (2.46) \quad &\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k+1}{n} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left[\frac{(k+1) - \frac{(k+1)}{n} v}{k+1} \right]^{n-3/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k+1}{n} v)}{(k+1)^2} dv \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(k+1)n} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} dv - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} v dv. \end{aligned}$$

We show for the second term

$$(2.47) \quad \frac{(n-3/2)}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} v dv \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 e^{-v} v dv + \int_1^\infty e^{-v} dv = 1 - e^{-1}.$$

Note that by integration by parts

$$\int_0^1 e^{-v} v dv = - \int_0^1 v d(e^{-v}) = - \left[v e^{-v} \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 e^{-v} dv \right] = -[e^{-1} - (1 - e^{-1})] = 1 - 2e^{-1}.$$

Since $\int_1^\infty e^{-v} dv = e^{-1}$, (2.47) follows.

Write the sum from (2.47) as one integral:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} v dv = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \# \{k : k+1 \leq n, k+1 \leq \frac{n}{v}\} v dv,$$

then split the integral over the domains $[0, 1]$ and $]1, \infty[$, getting

$$\int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \frac{\# \{k : k+1 \leq n\}}{n} v dv + \int_1^\infty \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \frac{\# \{k : k+1 \leq \frac{n}{v}\}}{n} v dv.$$

It is obvious that the first integral converges to $\int_0^1 e^{-v} v dv$ and the second one to $\int_1^\infty e^{-v} dv$, since in both cases the theorem of dominated convergence applies. Therefore (2.47) is proved.

Now consider the second expression in (2.46). After multiplying by $(n-3/2)$ we get

$$(2.48) \quad \frac{n-3/2}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{X_k}{2(k+1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Y_k}{2(k+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Z_k}{2(k+1)} \right),$$

where

$$X_k = \int_0^\infty e^{-v} dv = 1, \quad Y_k = \int_{n/(k+1)}^\infty e^{-v} dv = e^{-n/(k+1)}, \quad Z_k = \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(\left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right) - e^{-v} \right) dv.$$

Obviously, the first sum equals

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + o(1),$$

as asserted in (2.26). For the second term in (2.48), we have

$$(2.49) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Y_k}{2(k+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-n/(k+1)} \frac{1}{2(k+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-n/(k+1)} \frac{n}{2(k+1)^2} \frac{(k+1)}{n}.$$

We show that this expression converges to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha.$$

Consider the following subdivision:

$$t_1 = \frac{n}{2}, \dots, t_k = \frac{n}{k+1}, \dots, t_{n-1} = 1.$$

We have $t_{k-1} - t_k = \frac{n}{k} - \frac{n}{k+1} = \frac{n}{(k+1)k}$. Fix a large integer M and write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{t_M} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha &= \sum_{k=M+1}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k-1}} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{2\alpha} d\alpha \leq \sum_{k=M+1}^{n-1} e^{-t_k} \frac{1}{t_k} \frac{(t_k - t_{k-1})}{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=M+1}^{n-1} e^{-\frac{n}{k+1}} \frac{k+1}{n} \cdot \frac{n}{2(k+1)(k+1)} \cdot \frac{k+1}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{M+1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\frac{n}{k+1}} \frac{n}{2(k+1)^2} \frac{k+1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_M = \frac{n}{M+1} \rightarrow \infty$, when n tends to infinity, M fixed, we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Y_k}{2(k+1)} \geq \frac{M}{M+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha.$$

By letting M tend to infinity, we establish

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Y_k}{2(k+1)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha.$$

The upper bound comes similarly and we obtain

$$(2.50) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Y_k}{2(k+1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha.$$

Now we turn to the last term in (2.48) showing that

$$(2.51) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{Z_k}{(k+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(\left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - e^{-v} \right) dv \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It would then follow from (2.46)–(2.51) that

$$(2.52) \quad (n-3/2) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k+1)^{-(n+1/2)} B_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(k+1)} \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-1} - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha.$$

Let us compare the latter with the expression suggested in (2.26):

$$\int_1^{\infty} \phi_2(d\alpha) d\alpha = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{2e^{-\alpha} + \alpha e^{-\alpha} - 2}{2\alpha^2} d\alpha = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha^2} d\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{2e^{-\alpha} + \alpha e^{-\alpha} - 2}{2\alpha^2} d\alpha.$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha^2} d\alpha = \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\alpha} d\left(\frac{-1}{\alpha}\right) = e^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{-1}{\alpha}\right) \Big|_1^{\infty} - \int_1^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{\alpha}\right) d(e^{-\alpha}) = e^{-1} - \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha.$$

Hence,

$$\int_1^\infty \phi_2(d\alpha)d\alpha = e^{-1} - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha,$$

as stated in (2.52). It remains to prove (2.51)

Write the sum as one integral. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.53) \quad & \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)} \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left(\left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - e^{-v} \right) dv \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{n/(k+1)} \left| \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - e^{-v} \right| dv \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)} \right) \\ & \leq (\log n) \int_0^{n/2} \left| \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} - e^{-v} \right| dv \end{aligned}$$

Split the integration domain $[0, n/2]$ in $[0, A]$ and $]A, n/2]$ with $A = A(n)$ specified below. For the second domain, we use the elementary estimate

$$\left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{(n-3/2)}{n} v \right\} \leq e^{-v/2}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

We thus get the estimate

$$(\log n) \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) e^{-A/2}.$$

For the first domain, we have

$$\left| e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{n-3/2} \right| = \left| e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{-3/2} \right| := \left| e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n h \right|,$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n h, \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n h - e^{-v} \right\} & \leq \max \left\{ e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n, (h-1)e^{-v} \right\} \\ & \leq e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n + (h-1)e^{-v}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the following estimate ([Mi] p.266)

$$e^{-v} - \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{v^2}{2n}, \quad v \leq n$$

and also $h = \left(1 - \frac{v}{n}\right)^{-3/2} \leq \left(1 - \frac{A}{n}\right)^{-3/2}$. It follows that the expression in (2.53) is bounded by

$$(\log n) \left(\frac{n}{2} e^{-A/2} + \frac{A^3}{6n} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{n}\right)^{-3/2} - 1 \right).$$

By letting $A = n^{1/4}$, we get an expression tending to 0 when n tends to infinity. Hence we are also done with (2.26).

3. Final proofs

3.1. Control of approximation of zeta function

Recall according to the notation (1.21), with $\sigma = 1/2$ here, that

$$Z_n(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2} + iS_n}} - \frac{x^{1 - (\frac{1}{2} + iS_n)}}{1 - (\frac{1}{2} + iS_n)},$$

and put

$$\zeta_n = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iS_n\right).$$

We will show now that $Z_n(x)$ provides a good approximation to ζ_n in the following sense.

Proposition 2. *For each positive integer n ,*

$$\mathbf{E}|Z_n(x) - \zeta_n|^2 \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

In order to prove this proposition, we need a series of simple technical results. Let $p_n(u) = \frac{n}{\pi(n^2 + x^2)}$ denote the distribution density of S_n .

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $x \geq 1$. Then,*

$$\left| \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{i\alpha u} p_n(u) du \right| \leq \frac{C(n)}{|\alpha|x^2},$$

where the constant $C(n)$ depends on n only.

Proof.

$$\int_x^\infty e^{i\alpha u} p_n(u) du = \int_x^\infty p_n(u) d\left(\frac{e^{i\alpha u}}{i\alpha}\right) = p_n(x) \frac{e^{i\alpha x}}{i\alpha} - \int_x^\infty p'_n(u) \frac{e^{i\alpha u}}{i\alpha} du$$

We use the estimates

$$p_n(x) \leq \frac{C(n)}{x^2}, \quad p'_n(x) \leq \frac{C(n)}{x^3}.$$

Then

$$\left| \int_x^\infty e^{i\alpha u} p_n(u) du \right| \leq \frac{C(n)}{x^2} \frac{1}{|\alpha|} + \int_x^\infty \frac{C(n)}{u^3} \frac{du}{|\alpha|} \leq \frac{C(n)}{|\alpha|x^2}.$$

Applying this estimate to $\int_x^\infty e^{-i\alpha u} p_n(u) du = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{i\alpha u} p_n(u) du$, we achieve our goal. ■

Lemma 2. For any fixed n , we have

$$\int_{|u| \geq x} \left| \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2} + iu}} \right|^2 p_n(u) du \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Proof. We write that

$$\left| \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2} + iu}} \right|^2 = \sum_{m_1 \leq x} \sum_{m_2 \leq x} \frac{1}{m_1^{\frac{1}{2} + iu}} \frac{1}{m_2^{\frac{1}{2} - iu}} = \sum_{m_1 \leq x} \sum_{m_2 \leq x} \frac{1}{m_1^{1/2} m_2^{1/2}} \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} \right)^{iu}$$

Thus

$$\int_{|u| \geq x} \left| \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2} + iu}} \right|^2 p_n(u) du = \sum_{m_1 \leq x} \sum_{m_2 \leq x} \frac{1}{m_1^{1/2} m_2^{1/2}} \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{iu \log\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)} p_n(u) du.$$

We consider two cases: let $\beta = 1/2$.

If $|m_2 - m_1| < m_1^\beta$, then plainly

$$\left| \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{iu \log\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)} p_n(u) du \right| \leq \int_{|u| \geq x} p_n(u) du \leq \int_{|u| \geq x} \frac{C(n)}{u^2} du \leq \frac{C(n)}{x}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m_1 \leq x, m_2 \leq x \\ |m_2 - m_1| < m_1^\beta}} \frac{1}{(m_1 m_2)^{1/2}} \left| \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{iu \log\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)} p_n(u) du \right| &\leq \frac{C(n)}{x} \sum_{m_1 \leq x} \sum_{\substack{m_2 \leq x \\ |m_2 - m_1| < m_1^\beta}} \frac{1}{(m_1 m_2)^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(n)}{x} \sum_{m_1 \leq x} \frac{(2m_1^\beta)}{(m_1)^{1/2} (m_1 - m_1^\beta)^{1/2}} \\ &\leq C \frac{C(n)}{x} \sum_{m_1 \leq x} m_1^{\beta-1} \leq \frac{C \cdot C(n)}{x} x^\beta \\ &= C \cdot C(n) x^{\beta-1} \\ &= C \cdot C(n) x^{-1/2} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $|m_2 - m_1| \geq m_1^\beta$, either $m_2 - m_1 \geq m_1^\beta$, then by letting $\psi := \log\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)$ we get

$$|\psi| \geq \log\left(\frac{m_1 + m_1^\beta}{m_1}\right) = \log(1 + m_1^{\beta-1}) \geq C m_1^{\beta-1}.$$

Or $m_1 - m_2 \geq m_1^\beta$, which implies $m_1 - m_2 \geq m_1 \cdot m_1^{\beta-1} \geq m_2 \cdot m_1^{\beta-1}$. And so

$$|\psi| = \log\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right) \geq \log(1 + m_1^{\beta-1}) \geq C m_1^{\beta-1}.$$

By applying Lemma 1, we find that

$$\left| \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{iu \log\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)} p_n(u) du \right| = \left| \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{iu\psi} p_n(u) du \right| \leq \frac{C(n)}{|\psi|x^2} \leq \frac{C(n)}{m_1^{\beta-1} x^2} = \frac{C(n)}{x^2} m_1^{1-\beta},$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m_1 \leq x, m_2 \leq x \\ |m_2 - m_1| \geq m_1^\beta}} \frac{1}{(m_1 m_2)^{1/2}} \left| \int_{|u| \geq x} e^{iu \log\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)} p_n(u) du \right| &\leq \frac{C(n)}{x^2} \sum_{m_1 \leq x, m_2 \leq x} \frac{m_1^{1-\beta}}{(m_1 m_2)^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(n)}{x^2} \sum_{m_1 \leq x, m_2 \leq x} m_1^{-\beta+1/2} m_2^{-1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C(n)}{x^2} \left(\sum_{m_1 \leq x} m_1^{-\beta+1/2} \right) \left(\sum_{m_2 \leq x} m_2^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C(n)}{x^2} x^{-\beta+3/2} x^{1/2} = C(n) x^{-\beta} \\ &= C(n) x^{-1/2} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 3. For any fixed n , we have

$$\int_{|u| \geq x} \left| \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-iu}}{\frac{1}{2}-iu} \right|^2 p_n(u) du \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u| \geq x} \left| \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-iu}}{\frac{1}{2}-iu} \right|^2 p_n(u) du &\leq x \int_{|u| \geq x} \frac{1}{|u|^2} p_n(u) du \leq C(n)x \int_{|u| \geq x} \frac{du}{|u|^4} \leq C(n)x \cdot x^{-3} \\ &= \frac{C(n)}{x^2} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

Proof of Proposition 2. We set

$$h(x, u) = \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+iu}} - \frac{x^{1-(\frac{1}{2}+iu)}}{1-(\frac{1}{2}+iu)}.$$

Then

$$Z_n(x) = h(x, S_n),$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |Z_n(x) - \zeta_n|^2 &= \mathbf{E} |h(x, S_n) - \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iS_n)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(x, u) - \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^2 p_n(u) du \\ &\leq \int_{|u| \leq x} |h(x, u) - \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^2 p_n(u) du + 2 \int_{|u| > x} |h(x, u)|^2 p_n(u) du + 2 \int_{|u| > x} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^2 p_n(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the first integral, we have by (1.20)

$$\int_{|u| \leq x} |h(x, u) - \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^2 p_n(u) du \leq \max_{|u| \leq x} |h(x, u) - \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{|x|} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

The second integral,

$$\int_{|u| > x} |h(x, u)|^2 p_n(u) du \leq 2 \int_{|u| > x} \left| \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+iu}} \right|^2 p_n(u) du + 2 \int_{|u| > x} \left| \frac{x^{1-(\frac{1}{2}+iu)}}{1-(\frac{1}{2}+iu)} \right|^2 p_n(u) du$$

tends to zero, as a consequence of Lemmas 2 and 3. For controlling the third integral, we use that (see (1.9))

$$\int_{|u| \leq T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)|^4 du \leq CT(\log T)^4.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|u|>x} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu\right) \right|^2 p_n(u) du &\leq \sum_{m: 2^m \geq x} \int_{|u| \in [2^{m-1}, 2^m]} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu\right) \right|^2 p_n(u) du \\
&\leq \sum_{m: 2^m \geq x} \left(\max_{|u| \geq 2^{m-1}} p_n(u) \right) \cdot \int_{|u| \in [2^{m-1}, 2^m]} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu\right) \right|^2 du \\
&\leq \sum_{m: 2^m \geq x} \frac{C(n)}{(2^m)^2} \cdot \left(\int_{|u| \leq 2^m} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu\right) \right|^4 du \right)^{1/2} \cdot (2^m)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sum_{m \geq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \frac{C(n)}{(2^m)^2} \cdot \left(2^m [m \log 2]^4 \right)^{1/2} \cdot (2^m)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \cdot C(n) \sum_{m \geq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \frac{m^2}{2^m} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is now complete. ■

Note that a weaker result than (1.9), for instance Theorem 7.4 in [T] asserting that $\int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \sim T \log T$ as T tends to infinity, would have also be suitable.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.

In this step, we prove Theorem 1 by giving the estimates of the covariance of the system $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$. Recall that

$$Z_n = \zeta(1/2 + iS_n) - \mathbf{E} \zeta(1/2 + iS_n) = \zeta_n - \mathbf{E} \zeta_n.$$

We approximate ζ_n by $Z_n(x)$. By Proposition 2 we know that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{E} |Z_n(x) - \zeta_n|^2 \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

On the other hand, by using (2.21), (2.14), (2.16), we obtain from (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} |Z_n(x)|^2 &= \frac{2x}{n+1/2} + K_n + \frac{2x}{n+1/2} - \frac{4x}{n+1/2} + \frac{2}{2n-1} + o(1) \\
&= K_n + \frac{1}{n-1/2} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where K_n is given in (2.21). Hence,

$$\mathbf{E} |\zeta_n|^2 = K_n + \frac{1}{n-1/2} < \infty.$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{E} \zeta_n \bar{\zeta}_m = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} Z_n(x) \bar{Z}_m(x).$$

It also follows from (3.1) and (2.4) that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{E} \zeta_n = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} Z_n(x) = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) - \frac{8n}{4n^2 - 1}.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{E} \mathcal{Z}_n \overline{\mathcal{Z}_m} = \mathbf{E} \zeta_n \bar{\zeta}_m - \mathbf{E} \zeta_n \overline{\mathbf{E} \zeta_m},$$

we obtain from (3.2) and (3.3)

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{E} \mathcal{Z}_n \overline{\mathcal{Z}_m} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} Z_n(x) \bar{Z}_m(x) - \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) - \frac{8n}{4n^2 - 1} \right) \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right) - \frac{8m}{4m^2 - 1} \right).$$

In particular,

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{E} |\mathcal{Z}_n|^2 = K_n + \frac{1}{n - 1/2} - \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) - \frac{8n}{4n^2 - 1} \right)^2,$$

and the first claim of Theorem 1 follows.

By (2.3), $\mathbf{E} Z_n(x) \bar{Z}_m(x) = \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m1} - \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} - \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m1} + \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2}$. Recall that we proved in (2.20), (2.15), (2.17) and (2.13) respectively, for $m > n + 1$, as x tends to infinity, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m1} &= \zeta((m - n) + 1) + \theta \left(\frac{1}{m - 1/2} + \frac{1}{n - 1/2} \right) \zeta(m - n) + o(1), \\ \mathbf{E} Z_{n1} \bar{Z}_{m2} &= \frac{-2(m - n)\zeta(n + 1/2)}{(m + 1/2)(2n - m + 1/2)} + \frac{2n \zeta(m - n)}{(m - 1/2)(2n - m + 1/2)} + o(1), \\ \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m1} &= \overline{\mathbf{E} Z_{m1} \bar{Z}_{n2}} = \frac{2n \zeta(m - n)}{n^2 - 1/4} + o(1), \\ \mathbf{E} Z_{n2} \bar{Z}_{m2} &= \frac{4n(m - n)}{((m - n)^2 - 1)(n^2 - 1/4)} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \theta(n, m) \in [0, 1]$. We get in view of (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{E} Z_n(x) \bar{Z}_m(x) - \mathbf{E} Z_n(x) \overline{\mathbf{E} Z_m(x)} \right| &\leq \left| \zeta((m - n) + 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) - \frac{8n}{4n^2 - 1} \right) \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right) - \frac{8m}{4m^2 - 1} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{m - 1/2} + \frac{1}{n - 1/2} \right) \zeta(m - n) \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{2(m - n)\zeta(n + 1/2)}{(m + 1/2)(2n - m + 1/2)} - \frac{2n \zeta(m - n)}{(m - 1/2)(2n - m + 1/2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2n \zeta(m - n)}{n^2 - 1/4} + \frac{4n(m - n)}{((m - n)^2 - 1)(n^2 - 1/4)} \right| + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.4) and letting x tend to infinity, we obtain for any fixed pair of integers n, m with $m > n + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{E} \mathcal{Z}_n \overline{\mathcal{Z}_m} \right| &\leq \left| \zeta((m - n) + 1) - \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) - \frac{8n}{4n^2 - 1} \right) \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right) - \frac{8m}{4m^2 - 1} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{m - 1/2} + \frac{1}{n - 1/2} \right) \zeta(m - n) \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{2(m - n)\zeta(n + 1/2)}{(m + 1/2)(2n - m + 1/2)} - \frac{2n \zeta(m - n)}{(m - 1/2)(2n - m + 1/2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2n \zeta(m - n)}{n^2 - 1/4} + \frac{4n(m - n)}{((m - n)^2 - 1)(n^2 - 1/4)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta((m-n)+1) - \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right) - \frac{8n}{4n^2-1}\right) \left(\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+m\right) - \frac{8m}{4m^2-1}\right) = \zeta((m-n)+1) \\ & - \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+m\right) + \frac{8m}{4m^2-1} \cdot \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right) + \frac{8n}{4n^2-1} \cdot \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+m\right) - \frac{64nm}{(4n^2-1)(4m^2-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta((m-n)+1) - \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+m\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{(m-n)+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+n} \ell^{\frac{1}{2}+m}} \\ & = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{(m-n)+1}} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+n}} - \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{\frac{1}{2}+m}} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+n}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{\frac{1}{2}+m}}. \end{aligned}$$

And since for any $D > 1$,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^D} \leq \frac{1}{2^D} \left(1 + \frac{2}{D-1}\right),$$

it follows that

$$\left| \zeta((m-n)+1) - \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+m\right) \right| \leq C \max \left(\frac{1}{2^{(m-n)+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}+n}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}+m}} \right) \leq C \max \left(\frac{1}{2^{m-n}}, \frac{1}{2^n} \right).$$

Further

$$\sup_{m>n+1} \frac{m}{4m^2-1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \frac{n}{4n^2-1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

so that

$$\sup_{m>n+1} \left| \frac{8m}{4m^2-1} \cdot \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right) + \frac{8n}{4n^2-1} \cdot \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+m\right) - \frac{64nm}{(4n^2-1)(4m^2-1)} \right| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

For the other terms, we have uniformly over m such that $m > n+1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{m-1/2} + \frac{1}{n-1/2} \right) \zeta(m-n) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \\ & \frac{2n \zeta(m-n)}{n^2-1/4} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \\ & \frac{4n(m-n)}{((m-n)^2-1)(n^2-1/4)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consider finally the last term

$$\frac{-2(m-n)\zeta(n+1/2)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} + \frac{2n\zeta(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)}$$

We have

$$\frac{2n}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} - \frac{2(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} = 2 \frac{(2n-m)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)}$$

thus

$$\left| \frac{2n}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} - \frac{2(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{m-1/2} \max_{U=2n-m \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{|U|}{|U+1/2|} \leq C/m.$$

Further

$$\frac{2(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} - \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} = \frac{1}{(m^2-1/4)} \cdot \frac{2(m-n)}{(2n-m+1/2)}.$$

Now observe that the function $f(A) := \frac{A}{n-A+1/2}$ defined for A integer, has maximal absolute value less than Cn . Hence, as $f(m-n) = \frac{(m-n)}{(2n-m+1/2)}$, we deduce

$$\max_{m>n+1} \left| \frac{2(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} - \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \right| \leq C \max_{m>n+1} \frac{n}{m^2} \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\max_{m>n+1} \left| \frac{2n}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} - \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

Write that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-2(m-n)\zeta(n+1/2)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} + \frac{2n\zeta(m-n)}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \\ &= \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \{ \zeta(m-n) - \zeta(n+1/2) \} \\ &+ \zeta(n+1/2) \left\{ \frac{2n}{(m-1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} - \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We already know that the absolute value of the last term is less than C/n . To control the first term we proceed as before: since

$$| \zeta(m-n) - \zeta(n+1/2) | \leq C \max \left(\frac{1}{2^{m-n}}, \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \right| = \frac{2|f(m-n)|}{(m+1/2)} \leq C \frac{n}{m} \leq C,$$

we get

$$\left| \frac{2(m-n)}{(m+1/2)(2n-m+1/2)} \{ \zeta(m-n) - \zeta(n+1/2) \} \right| \leq C \max \left(\frac{1}{2^{m-n}}, \frac{1}{2^n} \right).$$

Therefore, for $m > n+1$

$$| \mathbf{E} \mathcal{Z}_n \overline{\mathcal{Z}_m} | \leq C \max \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2^{m-n}} \right),$$

as claimed in Theorem 1. ■

3.3. Asymptotic behavior along the Cauchy random walk

In this subsection, we give the proof of Theorem 2. The essential step consists of controlling the increments

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ even}}} \mathcal{Z}_n \right|^2, \quad \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ odd}}} \mathcal{Z}_n \right|^2.$$

Since the two increments are treated in exactly the same way, we only consider the first one. We use Theorem 1. By developing the sum

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ even}}} \mathcal{Z}_n \right|^2 = \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ even}}} \mathbf{E} |\mathcal{Z}_n|^2 + \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ even}}} \sum_{\substack{i \leq m \leq j \\ m \text{ even}}} \mathbf{E} \mathcal{Z}_n \overline{\mathcal{Z}_m} \leq C \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ even}}} \log n + C \sum_{\substack{i \leq n < m \leq j \\ n, m \text{ even}}} \max\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2^{m-n}}\right).$$

But

$$\sum_{\substack{i \leq n < m \leq j \\ n, m \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{n} \leq \left(\sum_{n \leq j} \frac{1}{n} \right) \left(\sum_{i \leq m \leq j} 1 \right) \leq C(\log j)(j - i)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{i \leq n < m \leq j \\ n, m \text{ even}}} 2^{-(m-n)} \leq \left(\sum_{i \leq n \leq j} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{m > n} 2^{-(m-n)} \right) \leq (j - i) \left(\sum_{h \geq 1} 2^{-h} \right) = C(j - i).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \leq j \\ n \text{ even}}} \mathcal{Z}_n \right|^2 \leq C(\log j)(j - i).$$

And by operating the same way for the odd part, we get that there exists a constant C such that for any $j > i$,

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i \leq n \leq j} \mathcal{Z}_n \right|^2 \leq C(\log j)(j - i).$$

Now the conclusion of Theorem 2 is easily obtained from Theorem 1.10 in [W2], which we recall now.

Proposition 3. *Let $\{m_l, l \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive reals with partial sums $M_n = \sum_{l=1}^n m_l$ tending to infinity with n . Assume that*

$$\log \frac{M_n}{m_n} \sim \log M_n.$$

Let $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a concave nondecreasing function. Then any sequence $\{\xi_l, l \geq 1\}$ of random variables satisfying the increment condition

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{l=i}^j \xi_l \right|^2 \leq \Phi \left(\sum_{l=1}^j m_l \right) \left(\sum_{l=i}^j m_l \right), \quad (i \leq j)$$

also verifies for any $\tau > 3/2$

$$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^n \xi_l}{\Phi(M_n)^{1/2} \log^\tau(1 + M_n)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\left| \sum_{l=1}^n \xi_l \right|}{\Phi(M_n)^{1/2} \log^\tau(1 + M_n)} \right\|_2 < \infty.$$

We apply this result to $\mathcal{Z}_n$ with the choice $m_l \equiv 1$ and $\Phi(x) = \log(1 + x)$ and obtain the assertion of Theorem 2. ■

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Prof. E. Bombieri for informing us about actual references concerning the introductory part. The work of the first named author was supported by grants NSh.422.206.1, RFBR 05-01-00911, and INTAS 03-51-5018.

References

- [Bi] Biane Ph. [2003] *La fonction zêta de Riemann et les probabilités*. In: *La fonction zêta*. Ed. Éc.Polytech., 165–193.
- [Bl] Blanchard A. [1969] *Initiation à la théorie analytique des nombres premiers*, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, **19**, Dunod, Paris.
- [Bo] Bohr H. [1952] *Collected Mathematical Works*. Dansk Mat. Forening, Copenhagen.
- [BJ] Bohr H., Jessen B. [1930/1932] *Über die Wertverteilung der Riemannsche Zetafunktion*, Erste Mitteilung. *Acta Math.* **54**, 1–35; Zweite Mitteilung, *ibid.* **58**, 1–55.
- [BPY] Biane Ph., Pitman J., Yor M. [2001] *Probability laws related to the Jacobi theta and Riemann zeta functions, and Brownian excursions*. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38**, no 4, 435–465.
- [Gh] Ghosh A. [1983] *On the Riemann zeta-function – mean value theorems and the distribution of $|S(T)|$* . *J. Number Theory* **17**, 93–102.
- [GH] Gelbart S., Miller S. [2004] *Riemann’s zeta function and beyond*. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41**, 59–112.
- [H1] Huxley M. N. [1972] *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*. Oxford Math. Monographs.
- [H2] Huxley, N. N. [2005] *Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function*, V. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **90** no. 1, 1–41.
- [HM] Hattori T., Matsumoto K. [1999] *A limit theorem for Bohr-Jessen’s probability measures of the Riemann zeta-function*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **507**, 219–232.
- [I1] Ivić A. [2003] *The Riemann Zeta-function, Theory and Applications*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY.
- [I2] Ivić A. [2005] *The modified Mellin transform of powers of the zeta-function*. Preprint.
- [Jo] Joyner D. [1986] *Distribution Theorems of L-functions*, Longman Scientific & Technical.
- [La] Laurinćikas A. [2002] *A probabilistic equivalent of the Lindelöf Hypothesis*. In: *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory*. Palanga, 157–161.
- [LS] Laurinćikas A., Steuding J. [2003] *A short note on the Lindelöf Hypothesis*. *Lithuanian Math. J.* **43**, no 1, 51–55.
- [Mi] Mitrović D. S. [1970] *Analytic Inequalities*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [Mo] Montgomery H. L. [1973] *The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **XXIV**, Analytic Number Theory, 181–193.
- [Po] Pólya G. [1974] *Collected Papers. Vol II: Location of Zeros*. *Mathematicians of Our Time*, **8**, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.–London.
- [T] Titchmarsh E. C. [1951] *The Theory of the Riemann-Zeta Function*, Oxford University Press.
- [T] Vinogradov I. M. [1958] *A new estimate of the function $\zeta(1+it)$* , (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* **22**, 161–164.
- [W1] Weber M., [2005] *Divisors, spin sums and the functional equation of the Zeta-Riemann function*, *Periodica Math. Hungar.* **51**, no 1, 119–131.
- [W2] Weber M. [2006] *Uniform bounds under increment conditions*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358**, no.2, 911–936.

MIKHAIL A. LIFSHITS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS,
ST.PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY,
198504, STARY PETERHOF, RUSSIA. E-MAIL: lifts@mail.rcom.ru

MICHEL J. G. WEBER
MATHÉMATIQUE (IRMA), UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS-PASTEUR ET C.N.R.S., 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES,
67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE. E-MAIL: weber@math.u-strasbg.fr